

XXIV PHYSICS IN COLLISION - Boston, June 27-29, 2004

## FLAVOUR CHANGING NEUTRAL CURRENT B DECAYS AT BABAR

Fabio Bellini

*Università degli Studi di Roma “La Sapienza”, Piazzale A. Moro 5 Rome, 00185*

### ABSTRACT

Recent BaBar results on rare B decays involving flavour-changing neutral currents are presented. New measurements of the CP asymmetries in  $b \rightarrow s\gamma$  decays are reported as well as  $b \rightarrow sl^+l^-$  branching ratio measurements<sup>1</sup>.

### 1 Introduction

Since the first measurement of the exclusive  $B \rightarrow K^*\gamma$  decay rate by CLEO [1], rare  $B$  decays involving flavour changing neutral current have been a unique probe to search for new physics. In the Standard Model (SM), the lowest order diagram for the  $b \rightarrow s\gamma$  decay is a loop (radiative penguin) diagram of top quark and  $W$  boson. In principle, new particles such as charged Higgs or SUSY partners can form the same loop diagram and may modify the SM amplitude. A comparison between the measured rate and the SM prediction has provided a stringent constraint on such new particles. The inclusive decay is to date accurately calculated up to the next-to-leading order QCD corrections [2] and several measurements have already been performed. On the contrary, exclusive measurements, do not give a further constraint to new physics because of the large uncertainties in the form factors

---

<sup>1</sup>Charge conjugate modes will be assumed throughout this text

Table 1:  $A_{CP}$  measurements in  $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ . Errors are statistics and systematics respectively.

	$B \rightarrow K^*\gamma$	$B \rightarrow X_s\gamma$
Direct CP asymmetry $A_{CP}$	$(-1.3 \pm 3 \pm 1)\%$	$(2.5 \pm 5.0 \pm 1.5)\%$

computation. Other observables, such as the partial rate asymmetry ( $A_{CP}$ ) between charge conjugate modes, could be more useful to constrain new physics. For example, the SM predicts very small asymmetry ( $\approx 1\%$ )[3], while there are several extensions of the SM predicting much larger  $A_{CP}$ . Electroweak processes, like  $b \rightarrow sl^+l^-$ , are also useful probes for new physics searches. Expected rates are two order of magnitude smaller than for  $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ . At the lowest order, the decay is described by an electroweak ( $Z$ ) penguin diagram and a  $W$ -box diagram in addition to the radiative penguin. If new particles with large weak bosons couplings exist, one can expect some additional contributions to  $b \rightarrow sl^+l^-$  that are not visible in  $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ .

## 2 Measurements of CP Asymmetries in $b \rightarrow s\gamma$

The exclusive  $B \rightarrow K^*\gamma$  analysis is detailed in [4]. The  $K^*$  is reconstructed in the four modes  $K^{*0} \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ ,  $K_S^0\pi^0$  and  $K^{*+} \rightarrow K^+\pi^0$ ,  $K_S^0\pi^+$  and combined with a high energy isolated photon to form a B meson candidate. The background, mostly from continuum production, is suppressed by means of event topology variables. To reject events in which the photon comes from a  $\pi^0(\eta)$  decay, a veto on  $m_{\gamma\gamma}$  invariant mass is applied. The discriminating variables are the beam energy-substituted mass  $m_{ES} = \sqrt{(E_{beam}^*)^2 - (\vec{p}_B^*)^2}$  and the energy difference  $\Delta E^* = E_B^* - E_{beam}^*$ , where  $\vec{p}_B^*$ ,  $E_B^*$  and  $E_{beam}^*$  denote the B-momentum, B-energy and beam energy in the center-of-mass (CM) frame, respectively. The signal yields are extracted from a likelihood fit to  $m_{ES}$  and  $\Delta E$ . A “semi-inclusive” method, which measures a sum of exclusive  $B \rightarrow X_s\gamma$  decays, is also used in BaBar [5]. The hadronic system  $X_s$  is reconstructed in 12 final states including a  $K_S^0$  or a  $K^+$  and up to three pions (at most one  $\pi^0$ ). The signal yields are extracted from a likelihood fit to  $m_{ES}$ . The results for the direct CP asymmetry, based on  $81.9fb^{-1}$ , are reported in Table 1. These are consistent with zero and statistics limited.

## 3 Measurements of Branching Fractions in $b \rightarrow sl^+l^-$

The exclusive  $b \rightarrow sl^+l^-$  measurement is described in [7]. Eight final states are reconstructed where a  $K^+$ ,  $K_S^0$ ,  $K^{*0}$  or  $K^{*+}$  recoils against a  $\mu^+\mu^-$  or  $e^+e^-$  pair,

Table 2: *Branching Fractions (BF) Predictions and Measurements in  $b \rightarrow sl^+l^-$  decays. Errors are statistics and systematics respectively.*

	$B \rightarrow Kl^+l^-$	$B \rightarrow K^*l^+l^-$	$B \rightarrow X_sl^+l^-$
BF Predictions ( $\times 10^7$ )	$3.5 \pm 1.2$	$11.9 \pm 3.9$	$42 \pm 7$
Measured BF ( $\times 10^7$ )	$6.5^{+1.4}_{-1.3} \pm 0.4$	$8.8^{+3.3}_{-2.9} \pm 1.0$	$56 \pm 15 \pm 12$

using an integrated luminosity of  $113.1 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ . Specific selection criteria are used to suppress individual backgrounds. Event shape variables are used to eliminate the continuum background. To reject events from  $B \rightarrow J/\psi(\psi(2S))K^{(*)}$  decays with  $J/\psi(\psi(2S)) \rightarrow l^+l^-$ , a veto on  $m_{ll}$  is applied. In each of the four  $K^{(*)}l^+l^-$  final states, a signal is extracted from a fit to the  $m_{ES}, \Delta E, (m_{K\pi})$  distributions. In the “semi-inclusive” approach [8], the reconstructed hadronic system  $X_s$  consists of one  $K_S^0$  or  $K^+$  and up to two pions (at most one  $\pi^0$ ). Background rejection is similar to the exclusive analysis. The signal yield is extracted from a likelihood fit to  $m_{ES}$  and the analysis is performed with an integrated luminosity of  $81.9 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ . The measured branching ratios are reported in Table 2 together with the theoretical predictions [6]. These results are in agreement with the SM predictions within the current level of accuracy and have errors comparable to the theoretical prediction precision. With larger data samples at the  $B$ -factories, it will be possible to make precise tests of the theoretical predictions for the differential distributions in these decays.

## References

1. R. Ammar *et al.* [CLEO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 674 (1993).
2. P. Gambino, M. Misiak, Nucl. Phys. B **611**, 338 (2001).
3. T. Hurth, E. Lunghi, W. Porod, arXiv:hep-ph/0310282. International Europhysics Conference on High-Energy Physics, Aachen, Germany
4. B. Aubert *et al.* [BABAR Collaboration], arXiv:hep-ex/0407003. Submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett.
5. B. Aubert *et al.* [BABAR Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 021804 (2004)
6. A. Ali, E. Lunghi, C. Greub and G. Hiller, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 034002 (2002)
7. B. Aubert *et al.* [BABAR Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 221802 (2003)
8. B. Aubert *et al.* [BABAR Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 081802 (2004)